

The Invasion and Occupation of South-Eastern Europe

Article written for AML Newsletter, Volume 4 Issue 1, April – June 1999

It is already clear to almost everyone except the most naïve that NATO/UN policy in Bosnia, Kosovo, Sanjak, Montenegro, Macedonia, Albania and Bulgaria is no different to NATO/UN policy in Turkey, where the attempt to eradicate the practice of Islam, even at the most elementary level, has continued unabated throughout this century. NATO/UN strategy in the Balkans during the present decade has ensured not only the continued and systematic destruction of Mosques, Madrassahs and Muslims – first implemented so ruthlessly under the ‘former’ Communist regime – but also the most thorough economic and social destabilisation of the indigenous Muslim populations, cynically and very thinly disguised as ‘racial’ persecution by a ‘foreign’ aggressor, until they have been brought to the point where they will accept the rule of almost anyone who appears to have put an end to their suffering – echoing the infamous words of *Protocol No. 10*:

“... the moment for this recognition will come when the peoples, utterly wearied by the irregularities and incompetence – a matter which we shall arrange for – of their rulers, will clamour: “Away with them and give us one king over all the earth who will unite us and annihilate the causes of discords – frontiers, nationalities, religions, State debts – who will give us peace and quiet, which we cannot find under our rulers and representatives.”

As the Muslims in Europe are now discovering, there is little or no hope or possibility of their securing any kind of justice as regards religious discrimination in European courts of law. Given the source of the attacks on European Muslims, it does not in fact come as any surprise to find that the legal systems which usually condone and even often actively promote religious discrimination do not officially recognise its existence, do not make it illegal and do not provide any means at all of obtaining compensation for those who have suffered loss as a result of being discriminated against because of their religion.

It is related that when the Mongols were sacking Baghdad, one Mongol warrior cornered a Muslim inhabitant of the city but then realised he had lost his sword. “Wait here while I go and find a sword,” he commanded. The man waited in fear. A few moments later the Mongol returned with a sword and cut off his head. As far as Muslims are concerned, this is how the ‘human rights’ mechanism operates. While the petition to the court of human rights lies pending, the men are slaughtered, the women are raped and the children are enslaved. Any attempt at self-defence is categorised and presented as ‘rebel activity’ by the *kafir* media. When the case finally comes to be heard, it is dismissed on a technicality or ‘in the public interest’ or in the name of ‘democracy’. This is part of the not always so gentle art of the *fait accompli*.

Naturally a few token heads will roll – on cushioned prison pillows – in years to come in the name of ‘crimes against humanity’, but for the time being the main thrust of NATO/UN strategy in the Balkans is likely to continue and it appears that the dismemberment of the former Muslim Ottoman Empire – which was so adeptly rubber-stamped and orchestrated by means of *inter alia* the soon-to-be-forgotten and never-to-be-kept promises contained in the ‘peace’ treaties of *St. Germain-en-Laye* (10th September) 1919, *S vres* (10th August) 1920, and *Lausanne* (24th July) 1923 – may well soon culminate in the ‘reconquest of Constantinople’ with NATO all the while enjoying the protection of the blanket immunity provisions contained in the *Treaty of Ottawa* (20th September) 1951. The only factor which has not been adequately taken into account by the occupying forces is the undeniable fact that there is no strength and no power except from Allah – which means that anything can still happen!

Ahmad Thomson, Barrister